

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,557	557 11/10/2003		Terrel L. Morris	200206282-2	5480
22879	7590	06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
		RD COMPAN' 4 E. HARMONY	CHERVINSKY	, BORIS LEO	
		OPERTY ADMI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLL	INS, CO	80527-2400		2835	-

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		fYY
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Asticus Oss	10/705,557	MORRIS, TERREL L.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835
The MAILING DATE f this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the c ver sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r oly within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101	November 2003.	
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is section to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina 11.	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \sqsubseteq e drawing(s) be held in abeyanttion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/705,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7, 8-12, 14, 21, 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor.

Taylor discloses a system comprising a first component 14, 25 that generates heat; and a second component 12, 31 that is thermally connected to the first component; wherein the heat from the first component is transferred to a coolant through the second component, and the second component has a function in the system associated with an operation of the system other than transferring heat; the second component directly interacts with the coolant, the first component comprises a plurality of first components 25, each of which generates heat; the second component comprises a plurality of second components 31, each of which has an respective function in the system that is associated with a respective operation of the system; the second component 12 comprises a cooling solution 40 for transferring heat to the coolant, the coolant is air; the second component operates as a heat sink fin for the at least one component; the second component 31 is connected to one side of the board 14 and the first component 25 is connected to another side of the board; the first board 14 that supports the first component 25; and a second board 12 supports the second component 31 and is

Application/Control Number: 10/705,557

Art Unit: 2835

thermally connected to the second component 25, and is connected to the first board 14, wherein a portion of the second board is thermally connected to the first component; the second board 12 is removably attached to the first board 14; the first board 14 and the connected second board 12 are removably connected to the system; a conformal thermal transfer material 30 located between the second board and the first component; a plurality of devices 31, 21 that are attached to the second board are connected to vias 34 in the second board, the vias 34 conduct heat from the at least one component. The method steps of claims 26 and 27 are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Taylor. Regarding to claim 28, Taylor discloses a first portion 22 for connecting a first component that has a function associated with an operation of the system other than transferring heat; a second portion 30 for thermally connecting a second component 31 that generates heat; a third portion 26 for connecting the first portion to the system. thereby enabling the function of the first component and a thermal conduction path between the first portion and the second portion, whereby heat from the second component 31 can be transferred to the first component, and the heat is transferred directly from the first component to a coolant for dissipation.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 6, 13, 18, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor.

Taylor discloses the claimed invention except components being various memory modules, or an I/O controller, or a cache chip, or crossbar chip. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use these components in the device disclosed by Taylor since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Taylor also does not disclose a ball grid array, which is well known and commonly used method of attaching a component to a circuit board, therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use ball grid array in the device disclosed by Taylor for securely mounting components on a circuit board.

5. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Rishworth et al.

Taylor discloses the claimed invention except having components to be mounted on the circuit board at 45 or 90 degree angle. Rishworth discloses the device having components attached to the circuit board at 45 or 90 degree angle. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to mount components at 45 or 90 degree angle as disclosed by Rishworth in the device disclosed by Taylor for compactness of the arrangement.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,557

Art Unit: 2835

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

6. Claims 15-17, 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-29 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,711,021. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the added limitation in the claims that the second component directly interacts with the coolant since it is considered obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/705,557 Page 6

Art Unit: 2835

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHERVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER fois l. Clarvina.